NO.		•
SOUTHERN DIV	TATES DISTRICT COURT VISION OF TEXAS N DIVISION	United States Courts Southern District of Texas FILED
Cole, et.al., Plaintiffs	§	SEP 2 2 2021
<b>v.</b>	§ CIVIL ACTION § 4:14-cv-16§	NO. 28 adi <b>an Ochsner, Clerk of C</b> ourt
Collier, et.al., Defendants	Š	

#### MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Petitioner asks this Honorable Court to GRANT a preliminary injunction against Defendants. Petitioner claims Defendants' actions in this matter are likely done in RETALIATION for this Honorable Court's previous rulings in this case.

C introduction

COMES NOW, James H. Gentry (Metitioner hereun) pro-se

INTERESTED PARTY in the above styled and numbered cause of action.

Petitioner (TDCJ # 01521142) respectfully asks this Honorable

Court to enjoin Defendants to return confiscated materials (19" papers)

and also issue a subsequent storage container for storage of said

materials and future "legal materials".

Petitioner alleges that Defendants confiscated - without proper cause - THOUSANDS of pages of "legal materials" (a significant portion of w hich is highly exculpatory evidence and Defendants also REFUSE to issue Petitioner a proper storage container in which to st ore the confiscated 19" papers" and also to store anticipated future additional "legal material."

Defendants' REFUSAL to grant Petitioner his repeated requests for an additional storage container in which to store "legal materials" has resulted in Petitioner being PERSECUTED with two disciplinary cases within the last few weeks: #202102389737 & 20210254844.

Petitioner has received UNDESERVED PUNISHMENT from Defendants by means of restrictions of his rights (for a total of 25 days) with

(1) NO recreation and (2) NOT being allowed to purchase legal materials and supplies from the prison commissary.

Defendants have attempted to justify salld confiscation by claiming the seized "legal documents" are, in fact, PERSONAL PROPERTY and, as such, were therefore Improperly Stored.

Petitioner's current housing assignment (B-5-14) does not contain adequate floor space in order to safely accommodate a second subsequent storage container. However, Pack Prison does include AT LEAST ONE HUNDRED (100) prison housing cubicles available which do have adequate floor space in which to store safely TWO (2) subsequent storage containers. Petitioner g ualifies to be housed in ANY of those One Hundred (100) prison housing cubicles. which are currently available.

Pertitioner ATTEMPTED to resolve this matter INFORMALLY, but said attempt was IGNORED. (See attached carbon copy of EXHIBIT # 1:

YOU ARE DENYING ME ACCESS TO THE COURTS DATED August 26, 2021 and sent to DUTY WARDEN Pack Prison.

#### ARGUMENT

Petitioner seeks to enjoin Defendants' agents and representatives from Prohibiting Petitioner access to several THOUSAND pages of "legal materials" (19" papers) and also from REFUSING to provide Petaitioner with adequate storage containers in which to properly store said materials (19" papers). This activity infringes Petitioner's constitutional right to ACCESS TO THE COURTS. Therefore, there is a substantial liklihood that Petitioner will prevail on the merits. See Winter 555 U.S. 7; Doran, 422 U.S. at 931; Sindicato..., 699

F. 3d at 10.

If the Court does not GRANT a preliminary injunction,

Defendants will likely continue their activaties that infringe

Petitioner's Access to Courts Constitutional Rights.

Petitioner will likely suffer imminent and irreparable injury if the Courd does not enjoin Defendants from refusing to provide Petitioner with the THOUSANDS of pages of evidence which will be used to support Petitioner's FIVE (5) APPLICATIONS FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS in Texas trial courd cases numbered:

If Petitaliner is NOT issued a subsequent storage container in which to store the currently confiscated "legal materials" (19" papers) he will have NO WAY to store said materials without exposing himself to the liklihood of being changed with ADDITIONAL disciplinary cases - along with associated punishments - including withdrawal of prison potential rights and privileges.

Defendants' REFUSAL to provide Petitibner with a detabled listing of the materials confiscated may greatly reduce Petitibner's success when filing his FIVE (5) APPLICATIONS FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS.

By simply "glancing" at a typed or written bage, one CANNOT make an informed decision whether a particular page is PERSONAL PROPERTY or "legal material."

THE ONLY WAY to determine if the printed words on the page of paper are PERSONAL instead of "legal materials" is:

The examining Defendant must - AT THE VERY LEAST - "scan" the words on the page. Defendants' own policy requires Defendants to obtain a Form I-186", SIGNED BY THE WARDEN to "scan" the surspect pages BEFORE beginning the examination of the suspect pages.

It is arbitrary, capricibus and overreaching for Defendants to claim the pages examined (19" papers) are PERSONAL PROPERTY instead of )"legal materials." Defendant's own rules provide that if Defendants suspect there may be written CONTRABAND, BEFORE they can "scan" each page to determine whether - or not - it is legal material - defendant must have a completed and signed by the Warden Form I-186 - Authorization to Search legal materials, BEFORE starting the scanning process. DEFENDANTS HAD NO SUCH AUTHORIZATION BEFORE SEARCHING PETITIONER"S (19" papers).

How can the LIBRARIAN III who examined Petitioner's 19" papers make an informed decision about whether the papers searched were PERSONAL PROPERTY or "legal materials" when she DID NOT possess a signed Form I-186 - Authorization to Search legal materials?

If she DID NOT SEARCH the 19" bapers, she had NO WAY OF KNOWING wheter they were PERSONAL PROPERTY or "legal materials."

On the other hand, if she DID SEARCH the 19" papers she VIOLATED TOCJ policy by not acquiring a Form I-186 before her search.

Either way, her search was contrary to the rules making the entire SEARCH process to be NULL AND VOID.

Furthermore, the charging officer tibrarian ill and the Officer V who she instructed to search a protion of Petitioner's papers, and have NEVER if ited a legal brief, nor been trained as a judge, wax been trained as an attorney nor ever had conducted themselves evan as a PRO\_SE LITIGANT. Therfore neither of those two TOCJ employeess were QUALIFIED to conduct the purported search they claim they wandwax conducted. They may very well have been trained to conduct a search for PHYSICAL CONTRABAND, but are not trained to conduct a search of leagal materials for written CONTRABAND.

# BALANCE OF HARM

If the preliminary injunction is NOT granted, the injury suffered by Petitioner will far outweigh any injury which might be suffered by Defendants when they return the confiscated 19" papers to Petitioner and also issue proper storage for THOUSANDS of confiscated pages now held by Defendants - PLUS potentially MANY MORE THOUSANDS of pages of EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE which will be gathered by Petitioner in the near future while he is preparing his FIVE (5) APPLICATIONS FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS. If PETITIONER IS NOT issued an additional sub sequent storage container, the prison employees will - File quite likely - sooner or later MORE disciplinary cases against Petitioner for "improper storage."

Defendants will **NOT** suffer undue hardship or 10ss as a result of the issuance of a preliminary injunction, the injury (Mf any) suffered by Defendants due to the "10ss" of confiscated exculpatory evidence will be negligible while the injury to Petiti ner might very well result in his remaining incarcerated for the balance of his natural life.

## LIBERTY INTEREST

Petitibner Gentry has a <u>constitution al right</u> to **LIBERTY**INTEREST in this matter while Defendants <u>db</u> <u>nbt</u> <u>have</u> a **LIBERTY**INTEREST.

## POSTING BOND

Petitioner is incarcerated and is indigent and cannot afford a bond payment.

#### NOTICE TO DEFENDANTS

Petitioner shall notify Defendants by U.S. mail, mailed the same day this MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION is filed in this honorable Court. - by U.S. mail.

#### HEARING

Petitibner PRAYS this Mbnorable Court hold a HEARING in order for Petitibner to PROVE that all (or a substantial part thereof) of what Defendants refer to as 19" papers are, in fact, "legal materials" and, as such, qualify Petitibner for the use of an additional subsequent storage container in which to properly store, not only the 19" papers, but also future anticipated additional "Legal materials" AND so a Judge can make the determination of which pages are "legal materials (court orders, court correspondence, briefs, bffender grievances Step 1 and 2 responses, judgmdnts, pleadings, transcripts, attorney correspondence, notes, drafts, etc. The naterial shall be reasonably necessary to support on-going, active litigation or anticipated litigation. Anticipated litigation shall show active progression.) TDCJ publication: OFENDER PROPERTY AD-03.72 (rev.6).

Words qualifies as "legalmaterial" without AT LEAST "scanning" the words on that page? According to Defendants' bwn rules, a person making a determination that the words on a specific page are, THENCT! "legal materials" must AT LEAST "scan" the words on the page. BEFORE envolve can "scan" words to try to determine if the words are "legal material, that person must have in possession a "Form I-186 (AUTHOIRIZATION TO SEARCH LEGAL MATERIALS) signed by the Warden. Said signed Form I-186 was NEVER acquired by the person(s) making the determination the subject pages (19" papers) in this case.

Therefore, the examinatiln was MOT done in accordance with TDCJ rules/regulations and is therefore (VOID).

UNOUALIFIED EXAMINED

# UNQUALIFIED EXAMINER

Charging Officer Librarian III enlisted the assistance of a Correctional Officer V to examine some of the property the Librarian III later cladimed is PERSONAL PROPERTY even though the Correctional Officer V does not have the tradining or authority to make a determination if a particular page of printed words is "legal material" or PERSONAL PROPERTY - nor did the Correctional Officer V have a signed KNKM Form I-186 AUTHORIZATION TO SEARCH LEGAL MATERIALS in possession while making decisions regarding whether - or not - a particular page was "legal materials".

Defendants used the term PERSONAL PROPERTY as a PRETEXT to "cover up" | "inhibit" | "stall" and/or "preclude" Petitioner's ACCESS TO COURTS constitutional rights in this matter.

# THE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST

Petitioner can concieve of NO REASON why enjoining Defendants to return confiscated pages of "legal material" (19" papers) to Petitioner and also issuing one additional storage container in which to store said 19" papers, as well as future additional legal materials, would - in ANY WAY - violate the public interest.

## CONCLUSION

Because Petitioner's current subsequent storage container is

FULL of "legal materials", if the 19" papers would have been

PROPERLY designated "legal material" Defendants would be REQUIRED by their own rules to issue Petitioner a second subsequent storage container to store 19" papers. ALSO see OFFENDER ORIENTATION HANDBOOK I-202 (rev. February 2017) - page 125 - II. A. "...Offenders have access to their legal materials on a daily basis". However, by classing 19" papers are PERSONAL PROPERTY, Petitioner has had NO

ACCESS to 19" papers since August 26, 2021 which is more than twenty (20) days since he has been attempting to prepare his FIVE (5)

APPLICATIONS FOR A WRIT OF MABEAS CORPUS without access to this highly exculpatory "legal material." By designating 19" papers as PERSONAL PROPERTY, Defendants ARE NOT REQUIRED to provide Petitioner DAILY ACCESS to the materials and also are not required to issue Petitioner a second subsequent storage container. Defendants also claim they are NOT required to return 19" papers to Petitioner.

Petitioner has shown this Honorable Court he qualifies to have confiscated property (19" papers) returned to him and to be issued a second subsequent storage container in which to store said Property because:

- (1) he is likely to succeed on the merits; and
- (2) suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief before a decision on the merits can be rendered; and
  - (3) the balance of equities tips in his favor; and
  - (4) the injunction is in the public interest.

See: <u>Winter</u>, 555 U.S. 7; 129 SCT 365 (2008)

## PRAYER

Petitioner PRAYS this Honorable Court to enjoin Defendants herein to:

- 1. Return to Petitioner the 19" papers which they have confiscated from Petitioner and
- 2. Issue an additional subsequent storage container to Petitioner for his use in storing "legalmaterials" including the 19" papers and legal materials which will be acquired in the future; and
- 3. Re-assign Petitioner to a housing cubicle which has sufficient floor space to SAFELY accommodate two (2) subsequent storage containers; and
- 4. Replace the finding of GUILTY in the two above identified disciplinary cases to NOT GUILTY and remove the punishment assessed to Petitioner in each case.

## VERIFICATION AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, James H. Gentry, pro-se Petitioner herein, do hereby <u>CERTIFY</u>
UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY that the foregoing document <u>MOTION FOR</u>

PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION is TRUE and CORRECT to the best of my
knopwledge and that a copy will be madeled to the Clerk of this
Honorable court at 515 Rusk, Houston, Texas 77002 on this <u>19th</u> day
of September, 2021.

EXECUTED ON THIS 19th DAY OF SEPTEMBER! 2021

Jan Ar Henty - 9-19-202

James H. Gentry, pro-se Petitioner

TECJ # 01521142

Pack Prison

2400 Wallace Pack Rbad Navasota, Texas 77868

I) James H. Gentry pro-se Petitioner herein do hereby <u>CERTIFY</u>

NOTICE of the filing of this MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION will be maddled by FIRST CLASS MAIL to:

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BRYAN COLLIER TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE P.O. BOX 99 Huntsville, Texas 77342-0099

#### and to:

Jeffrey S. Edwards
Pack Unit Class Action
The Edwards Law Form
1101 Fast 11th Street
Austin Texas 78702

EXECUTED ON THIS 19th DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2021

Jan 14 Morty - 9-19-2021

Mames H. Gentry, pro-se Petitioner

TDCJ # 01521142

Pack Prison

2400 Wallace Pack Rad Navasota, Texas 77868 Case 4:14-cv-01698 Document 1696 Filed on 09/22/21 in TXSD Page 10 of 17

PLAINTIFF GENTRY'S AFFIDAVIT OF LEGAL MATERIALS

# Cause no. 4:14-cv-1698

UNITED STATES DISTRICOURT

James H. Gentry, Plaintiff § IN THE 199th JUNICIAL

SOUTHERN DIVISION OF TEXAS

V. § DISTRICT COURT OF

TDCJ, Brian Collier, Defendant § HOUSTON DIVISION COLLIN-COUNTY,-TEXAS

United States Courts Southern District of Texas FILED

PLAINTIFF GENTRY'S AFFIDAVIT OF LEGAL MATERIALS

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

SEP 2 2 2021

COMES NOW, James H. Gentry, Plaintiff in the above standed and Clerk of Court numbered cause of action and presents this "AFFIDAVIT OF LEGAL MATERIALS". In support of this affidavit, Plaintiff will show the following:

Plaintiff has qualified for and received permission from the Pack Unit Administration to possess a "Subsequent Storage Container" to store and maintain legal materials and property. Plaintiff states under oath that the materials stored and maintained in the "legal box" are judgments, pleadings, transcripts, attorney correspondence, notes, drafts, and exhibits that are material to curr ent or anticipated litigation. Most importantly, the legal materials are organized in chronological order, by subject matter, and identified as such with notations on the outside of each folder.

In order to maintain possession of the "subsequent storage contianer", inmates are required to undergo review every 90 days. A review of the 90 day period is anticipated to take place on or about 20, 20, 20, 20, 21. Plaintiff is compelled to make this oath for the record whereas, in the past, TDCJ staff "weaponized" the 90 day review as a pretext in retaliation to seize legal materials, thereby stalling, impeding, precluding, hindering and otherwise undermining inmate litigation.

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of this PLAINTIFF GENTRY'S AFFIDAVIT OF LEGAL MATERIALS has been placed in the Pack Unit "grievance box" addressed to Warden Fiduration on thebelow date, and also mailed, via U.S. postal service, postage prepaid to the Court in the above styled and numbered cause of action,

# unsworn declaration

My date of birth was March 1, 1943 and my io mate number is 1521142. I am presently incarcerated in the TDCJ-ID Pack Unit, Navasota, Grimes County | Texas 77868. I declare, pursuant to 28 USC § 1746 that, the foregoing is true and correct under penalty of perjury

EXECUTED On the // day of August 1 20 21.

James H. Gentry, Plaintiff herein, TDCJ number 1521142

Janu At /2004 - 9-19-2021

Pack Prison

2400 Wallace PPack Road

Navasota! Texas 77868

Page 2 of 2

# EXHIBIT # 1

YOU ARE DENYING ME ACCESS TO THE COURTS

(attempt for informal resolution)

# Case 4:14-cv-01698 Document 1696 Filed on 09/22/21 in TXSD Page 14 of 17 Thursday, August 26, 2021

TO: DUTY WARDEN Pack Prison

# YOU ARE DENYING ME ACCESS TO THE COURTS

Earlier today | at about 1000 hours | your Pack Access to Courts supervisor A. Oliver confiscated several hundred pages of my "legal materials" during my daily morning law library session.

Even though I asked her for a listing of the files sho was confiscating, she attempted to avoid the restrict ion on the PROP-08 (05/15) form by claiming what she was confiscating was NOT "legal material":

Section II: Confiscation Unformation: "(legal material shall not be confiscated using this form.)"

Instead of providing me a listing of the confiscated documents, she calimed the stack of papers she confiscated was 719 inches high." One of the files she confiscated was labeled:

# 2021 parole packet

Does that sound like "legal materials" to you? She says NOT. Ms. Oliver further REFGUED to issue me another SUBSEQUENT STORAGE CONTAINER

If you or your appointed representative do not contact me to attempt to informally resolve this issue, I plan to file:

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR DENIAL OF ACCESS TO COURTS AND RETALIATION AGAINST AN INTERESTED PARTY (and/or member of the class) in:

Honorable Judge Keith P Ellison this court: U S District Court

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Houston)

CIVIL CASE NO. 4:14-cv-1698 Cole v Collier and ALSO in CIVIL CASE NO. 4:20-cv-01115 Valentine v Collina Cause: 28:1983 Civil Reghts

If this issue is not resolved beforehand, I plan to deposit the absove described "emergency motion" to Judge Ellison's court on or after 0300 hours on Monday, August 301 2021 in the Pack Prison legal madl system.

I would much PREFER to resolve this matter informally pribr to that date and time.

Respectfully Submitted,

Jasmes H. Gentry TDCJ # 01521142 Pack Prison HOUSING: B-5-14

Comments Hantry ( 1

September 19 | 2021

Clerk of the Court
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DIVISION OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION
515 Rusk
Houston Texas 77002

United States Courts Southern District of Texas FILED

RE: Cause of Action Number 4:14-cv-1698

MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

SEP 2 2 2021

DEAR CLERK:

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk of Court

Please find enclosed:

- 1. MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
- 2. PLAINTIFF GENTRY"S AFFIDAVIT FOR LEGAL MATERIALS
- 3. Exhibit # 1 YOU ARE DENYING ME ACCESS TO THE COURTS
- 4. A carbon copy of this letter
- 5. A self-addressed, stamped envelope

Would you please:

- A. File the items #1, #2, and #3 above in the above identified and numbered cause of action in your honorable Court; and
- B. "File Stamp" the enclosed carbon copy of this letter
- C. Mail said "file-stamped" carbon copy of this letter to me in the enclosed self-addressed, stamped envelope?

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PROMPT AND EFFICIENT SERVICE IN THIS MATTER Respectfully Requested,

James H. Gentry pro-se

TDCJ # 01521142

Pack Prison

2400 Wallace Pack Road Navasota, Texas 77868

EXECUTED ON THIS 19 DAY OF & SEPTEMBER! 2021

James B. Sentry 9-

9-19-2

September 19 | 2021

Clerk of the Court UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DIVISION OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION 515 Rusk Houston! Texas 77002

RE: Cause of Action Number 4:14-cv-1698
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

DEAR CLERK:

Please find enclased:

- 1. MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
- 2. PLAINTIFF GENTRY"S AFFIDAVIT FOR LEGAL MATERIALS
- #3 Exhibit # 1 YOU ARE DENYING ME ACCESS TO THE COURTS
- 4. A carbon copy of this letter
- 5. A self-addressed, stamped envelope

Would you please:

- A. File the items #1, #2, and #3 above in the above identified and numbered cause of action in your honorable Court; and
- B. "File Stamp" the enclosed carbon copy of this letter
- C. Mail said "file-stamped" carbin copy of this letter to me in the enclosed self-addressed, stamped envelope?

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PROMPT AND EFFICIENT SERVICE IN THIS MATTER Respectfully Requested,

James H. Gentry | pro-se

TDCJ # 01521142

Pack Prison

2400 Wallace Pack Road Navasota, Texas 77868

EXECUTED ON THIS 19 DAY OF \$ SEPTEMBER! 2021

Janus 95 Benty 9-19-21

Gentry, James H - 1521142 Pack Parson 2400 Wallace Pack Road Marasota, TX 77868

United States Courts
Southern District of Texas
FILED

FOREVER / USA

FOREVER / USA .

THE MY SEP MAIN

AFSM 6 N How 773

Commen Ochaner, Clark Life Life

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DIVISION OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION 515 RUSK HOUSTON TX 77002

1/2/2